

Street Therapy. Time and Unpredictability.

Note: The topic I will be discussing does not directly deal with violence in schools, families or institutions. Readers interested in this topic can consult the text I have written on *Violence in and of schools*. Here I prefer to speak about a systemecological intervention technique: Street Therapy.

1. A liberating experience

I went out with my wife, Beatrice, to visit an archeological site of interest in a forest in Brittany. I was in Rennes as a visiting professor and I was also directing a doctorate thesis. On the way home, without really knowing what made me do it, I stopped by the side of a steep ditch and said: I think a car has gone off the road and that there is someone in the car. I went down, and sure enough, there was a man about 40 years old who was on the verge of dying. After a few very cold-blooded actions on my part, which I will not share here today, I went back up the incline and tried to get some quick help. Once the help arrived and had taken the badly injured gentleman away, we got back on the road but something had changed in me.

An enduring time of interpersonal intentionality had taken over chronological time. Its unpredictability was quite different from that of wristwatch time.

Thirty years later, the systemecological approach led me towards systemecological interventions that were open but somewhat unpredictable in a spacial/temporal sense.

2. Beyond the walls of the doctor's office

I remember a session with a young boy of about 13 who was accompanied by his school psychologist and his grandmother. He ran away while he was waiting for his appointment by jumping through the bathroom window. They came to tell me that he had crossed the railroad tracks. The school psychologist and I ran after him immediately and we saw him running up a hill. His grandmother helped us convince him to come back to the office and keep his appointment. There is no need to go into the details of the situation right now, but I would point out that he and I were alone outside. We did not go into the office building, but rather sat near an outside wall, and it was in this unpredictable time and space that "therapy" took place. He also did not want to go home in the school psychologist's car; he wanted to walk. This was not possible due to the fact that his house was very far away. He finally agreed to go in the car, without having to be forced. The joint therapeutic time made inroads in his intentions and in the *roulette possible exits* for his behavior.

3. Occasional street therapy.

I began to distance myself from the narrow confines of the analytic consultation which I had begun my work in the 1960s. I remember a French psychoanalyst from back then who wouldn't even let his clients get up from the couch to go to the bathroom. And the question was, why not? The answer: acting out.

By the end of the 1980s, I began to think about the possibility of therapeutic intervention being truly *systemecological*; that is, its taking place in a time and space which the unpredictability of the situation required some *help*, since this is the etymological meaning in Greek of the word *therapy*; and *ecological* because *home* with the surrounding temporal space which determined the type of intervention. That is what happened one day in an elevator, as I will explain later. But it could have happened on any street bordering a school, for example.

Thus, the practice of *street therapy emerged* from the aforementioned “liberating experience.”

Open space and time: an office is limited by its external walls. But how should we handle the unpredictability of encounters, the unpredictable spatiotemporal contexts of an office which is more liberated than defined, and the speed of these encounters? How can we integrate a sense of ethical responsibility into this “unpredictable street therapy to control this new type of therapeutic encounter?”

4. Deontology and Ethics

I remember the professor who was in charge of giving some epistemological guidance to doctoral students when I was working at the University of Louvain. He told us to spend a few afternoons in the university’s main library to become familiar with the bibliographic layout of a wide array of materials and then once we had chosen our research topic, to begin to look through the most complete encyclopedias and dictionaries as a first step to understanding the concepts which interested us.

Following his indications, I chose a French encyclopedia (ed. Hachette, Paris 1980/1991) and also the Encyclopedic Dictionary of the Portuguese Language (Publications Alfa, 1992) to see how they defined deontology and ethics and to establish some common denominators for both encyclopedias as well as the specific information from each one of them, which was much more detailed in the first.

4.1 Deontology is the so-called “science of or having to do with obligations” (in Alfa p. 353). I was surprised by the brevity of the definition, but I also realized that I had found the conceptual common denominator that would be further developed in the aforementioned French encyclopedia.

Thus, deontology, from a philological point of view, comes from the Greek *deon-deontos*, duty, and from *logos*, which, as we all know, means the word, i.e., discourse on what duty or obligation is. And it is defined as a theory on moral duties or obligations. Professional morality alludes to the theory of rights and obligations in the exercise of a profession, especially the medical profession.

Both the profession of clinical psychologist and that of *systemecological family therapist* have a *face-to-face proximity* to the patient, just as a physician has when treating a *bed-ridden* patient. Thus, wouldn’t the Greek root for *clinic* be the same as the one for *bed*?

4.2 I am also thinking about the research done by the Swiss team in Masson which was reported in the article published in *Therapie Familiale*, which makes reference to the high percentage of health care professionals (I don’t remember the exact percentage, but I do know that the number, well over one-third surprised me greatly) who “express their sexuality” in their treatment of patients.

The Almodovar film "Talk to Her" shown in Lisbon, also addressed the problematic topic through the character of the male nurse who "dreamed" he had sexual relations with a comatose patient.

The obligation to treat patients, in both professions, is interrelated with the right of the patient to be respected as a person. By the way, when I had my heart attack, one morning a group of medical students and their attending physician appeared at the end of my hospital bed. They stood at the end of the bed and began to discuss the information on my chart. I was definitely a pretext for learning. That was when I turned to the attending physician and I said "before anything else, we should say good morning to each other," which we did. I will tell you here about some very serious abusive situations that I was aware of (which are related to the topic of these meetings, which is the prevention of violence in schools). I even considered filing charges in two of the cases. I cannot stop thinking about the unending number of health care professionals that overstep the boundaries while treating a patient. I remember that one night my oldest daughter, who is a pediatrician, asked me to take home and care for a baby that had been brought in from the Azores while she was off-duty.

- 4.3 Knowing how to ethically maintain a proximity and a distance without distancing into detachment or proximity into an unordered search for affection requires internal enrichment on the therapist's part, a "little extra soul" (I think this might be a term Bergson used), an affective emotional balance which creates a spontaneous-relearned osmosis between moral rights and obligations. In order to achieve this, one must be sufficiently curious in his questions by using very polite answers. This is how we can determine what degree of discomfort the patient the systemecological family therapist should not exceed.

Let's explain what systemecology means. This is a redundant term that has a lot to do with specific deontological forms. Let's see if I am right. Ecology comes from the Greek root *eco* (*oikos*) = house and *logos*. Thus, these are "home-made obligations and rights, so to speak. It is as if we were guests in a house belonging to someone else, but one in which we had to work to earn our keep and to support others. This necessity includes, *deontologically* speaking, a moral dimension that leads to an ethical dimension which it supersedes.

- 4.4 Ethics comes from *ethikos* = "mos, moris" customs, and thus it is the science of morality, which is based on rules, values and objectives, rather than the society's opinion of behaviors which can be judged to be positive or negative according to whether or not they are approved of or not, and therefore, they are seen as *good, bad, appropriate or inappropriate*.
5. The systemic house, which has other houses within it, is made up of several floors and rooms, just like the intergenerational floors that we usually find in different houses. We know that nowadays, due to the scarcity of means, there are married children who still live at home. But this is not the only problem. Let's not forget rural families that in a very small space managed to raise a growing family whose members helped with the work in a type of inheritance-based economy. Likewise, today rather than "leaving home" as Haley writes, the question is "how not to be left without a family while being married and living at home?"

How can we “*cut down*” families that come to our centers for help, and how can we carry out other kinds of “*cuts*” such as limiting the topics or people we are going to talk about? Should we enter into the parents’ *oikos* in a therapy session for a married couple? Should we enter the grandparents’ house or the house of former spouse? And how can we do that without invading their privacy or without *dysfunctional curiosity* which only reflects the therapist’s *pathos*? How can we deal with the space and time of proximity-distance-separation-death, in other words, with the quality of relational space/intentional time?

Here are a few other *deonto-logical* situations or questions related to ethics.

- 5.1 J. Ferrater Mora, in his *Dictionary of Philosophy* (Ed. Sudamerica, Buenos Aires 1951), refers to Bentham’s study “Deontology or the Science of Morality” (1789); however, as a science of obligations or theory of moral rules, it is not a normative science, but rather an empirical one to the extent that “obligations” allude to certain social circumstances and must be met in order to achieve the ideal of the maximum pleasure possible for the greatest number of people possible (ib. 216).

The idea of *entelechy* underlies this concept. Let me explain. Without getting into the details of this Aristotelean concept which has to do with potential and action, I will give an example about the making of a statue. The Portuguese writer Antonio Vieira gives a perfect example in the metaphor of a sculptor who rents out of a piece of stone a shape which combines his ideal of beauty and the characteristics of the material he is using, which also affects the work he is doing.

Hippocrates gave us a set of rules with which to regulate the physician-patient relationship which comprise a deontology. It gives us the foundation for the gestures and customs (mores), in other words, of the morality of those rules. Ethics tries to define the “best”; deontology is more pragmatic. Hippocrates’ legacy is deontology. Augustine of Hippo set down the foundations for an ethic: “Love and as you like” in which obligation and the freedom of the relational being were allies.

6. I would briefly like to enumerate some criteria that I think can bring together deontology in the practice of unpredictable “street therapy” and an adequate and coherent ethic.

As I said in the case I called “a liberating experience”, it is very important to provide as much continuity as possible to the “therapeutic encounter in the street”. Not only did I go down the hill, talk and touch the person, but I also acted by calling the ambulance. Thus, in these “street therapies”, I offer people the possibility of coming into contact with me directly or through the free sessions that we offer through the Portuguese Association of Family and Community Therapy. I also think it is important that I had had sufficient experience as a supervising therapist because that allowed me to be able to quickly perceive the overall essence of the therapeutic moment I was dealing with by choosing between several theoretical and practical rechanneling options.

It is important to be able to momentarily put emotional situations that affect us aside; to be able to dosify socioaffective proximity and distance and balance the preferential positive value of the situation with wisdom and prudence.

Finally, one must know how to make informed decisions, especially in negative structured contexts and in interpersonal relationships that are also qualitatively negative.

7. Descriptions of some cases, including those mentioned in this presentation, and other related to the texts "Violence and Satisfaction," "Systemic Intervention with Catatonic Patient," "Aggressiveness in a university context in stressful situations" have been developed.

Pina Prata